Research Churning
A Monthly Multidisciplinary Double-Blind Peer-Reviewed International Journal
Volume 1 Issue I September 2026 ISSN: 0000-0000

Understanding Publication Misconduct: Causes, Consequences and prevention Strategies

Debalina Bhowmick, Research Scholar, Department of Education, Babasaheb Bhimrao Ambedkar University, Lucknow, Uttar Pradesh, India
Dr. Meena Vishweshwar Rakshe,
Assistant professor, Department of Education, Babasaheb Bhimrao Ambedkar University, Lucknow, Uttar Pradesh, India

DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.22311209

Abstract

Research publication misconduct is a pervasive global issue that damages the reputations of individual scholars and academic institutions. Ethical principles provide explicit guidelines for acceptable research conduct. Violations of these standards result in various forms of misconduct, such as data falsification, fabrication of results, plagiarism, duplicate submissions, and authorship disputes. This study analyzes the definitions, underlying concepts, causes, and consequences of publication misconduct in academic research. The methodology includes a review of peer-reviewed journals, scholarly articles, electronic books, and online resources. The results underscore the importance of clear ethical standards, comprehensive institutional policies, and government regulations to uphold academic integrity within the research community.

Keywords: Ethics, Publication, Misconduct, Plagiarism, Fabrication, Falsification, Salami Slicing

Introduction

Ethics is derived from Greek word '**Ethos'** which means Character or conduct. Ethics is related mainly two things Values and Morality which addresses the concepts of right and wrong as well as good and evil in human behavior. Ethics is an essential component of research and scientific academic writing. Researcher should be conducted research in an ethical manner ensuring dignity, Rights, safety, and privacy within the ecosystem of research. It provides guidance on how individuals can act in a morally appropriate manner. In research, ethical considerations are integral to all stages, including study design, data collection, and data analysis.

Publication misconduct encompasses unethical actions, behavior during research publication, compromising the integrity of research and publication processes and violating the standard of the research. This includes various dimensions forms of misconduct that undermine the validity and credibility of the research. There are two major dimensions are unethical practices first is while planning and conducting research or interpreting data . Second is unethical practices while publishing research paper and research report.

Consortium Committee on Publication Ethics (COPE) and World Association of Medical Editors (WAME) are the major global organizations which help to developing codes of conduct for research integrity for promoting ethical standards in academic publication. Researchers, publishers, and editors adhere to these guidelines for conducting research in ethical manner.

Objectives of the Study

- Study the concept and scope of publication misconduct
- Classify and examine various types of publication misconduct
- Investigate the underlying causes of unethical publishing practices
- Assess the academic and professional consequences of misconduct
- Explore ethical standards and preventive measures to uphold integrity in publication

Methodology

This review synthesizes information from a range of sources, including articles, journals, e-books, websites, and both national and international publications. It provides an overview of publication misconduct, outlining its types, causes, consequences, and the ethical standards necessary to prevent such misconduct. Publication refers to the process by which researchers disseminate their work through journals, books, or magazines to gain recognition. Subsequent researchers may use these publications to inform and advance their own work. However, when ethical standards are not upheld, publication misconduct occurs. Common forms of misconduct include plagiarism, fabrication, falsification, authorship conflicts, redundant publication, and salami slicing.

Plagiarism

Among the various forms of research misconduct, plagiarism stands out as one of the most serious violations of academic integrity. According to the European Code of Conduct for Research Integrity, plagiarism involves "the appropriation of other people's material without giving proper credit." Similarly, the US Federal Policy on Research Misconduct defines it as "the appropriation of another person's ideas processes, results or words without giving appropriate credit."

According to Encyclopaedia and Britannica plagiarism is “the act of taking the writings of another person and passing them off as one’s own.”

Plagiarism refers to use another work without referencing and credit to the creator when writing any research work. This form of academic dishonesty encompasses multiple variations and can be classified into different categories based on its nature and scope.

- Direct or Text plagiarism by copying word by word of another’s work and original study without credit the author.
- Self-Plagiarism refer as the researcher presents his or her own previously published data without proper referencing.
- Mosaic Plagiarism is called when researchers don’t copy word by word use paraphrasing word and replacement of the word without proper credit to the Authors.
- Idea plagiarism is very crucial and harmful act where anyone copy other’s idea from their unpublished or published work without any concern.
- Accidental Plagiarism means when the researchers does not cite their sources or even misquotes without giving proper attribution.

For avoiding plagiarism a researcher should referencing properly by crediting the authors and acknowledging the authors.

Fabrication

The European Code of Conduct characterizes fabrication as "making up results and recording them as if they were real." This involves creating fictitious data and presenting such invented information as authentic findings from research that was never actually performed (Martyn, 2003). According to Gerrets (2016), data fabrication can be carried out by both ethical and unethical.

Example:- create a fake data collection for survey and Experimentation , take imaginative response in questionnaire , reporting false result from the survey which is really not occurred.

Falsification

Falsification involves the alteration or exclusion of research findings to generate misleading outcomes (Martyn, 2003). Through this process, data undergoes manipulation, resulting in the creation of deceptive representations. Irving Hexham describes falsifying as "the deliberate undertaking to deceive the pursuer through the designation and depiction as one's own work."

Example:- changing or omitting number in data table , Editing graphs or images for fitting the hypothesis.

Authorship

Authorship can ensures attribute to the particular individuals for their significant contribution in any particular research work.

International committee of Medical Journal Editors (ICMJE) gave the guidance for authorship. There are four criterias that should followed for authorship of an Author.

i) Substantial Contribution to the study conception and design, data acquisition analysis and interpretation.

ii) Drafting or revising the article for intellectual content.

iii) Approval of the final version.

iv) Agreement to the accountable for all aspects of the work related the accuracy or integrity of any part of the work.' [ICMJE (2025) Recommendations for the Conduct, Reporting, Editing, and publication of scholarly work in medical journal]

UNACCEPTABLE AUTHORSHIP PRACTICEES

Three types of authorship are considered unethical

1. *Ghost authors*: Ghost authors refer to those who are Significant contributors but remain unacknowledged, often due to financial arrangements.

2. *Guest authors*: Guest authors refer to those Individuals listed as authors despite minimal or no contributions, often to enhance publication prospects.

3. *Gift authors*: Gift authors refer to those granted authorship based on their position or affiliation, rather than actual contributions.

These practices compromise research integrity and transparency .

RESOLVING AUTHORSHIP ISSUES

- If researcher does not agree with authors list, they should consult with their respective institutions for providing guidance .
- Researcher can contact the editorial team if there any confusion regarding authorship and contribution.
- Researcher should Follow the guidelines properly by reading them very carefully.
- When research writes any research work must know the basic difference between the author and contributor for writing the names in the author list for acknowledgement.

REDUNDANT PUBLICATION

Redundant Publication means where researcher publish the same study in multiple times without telling editors and publisher.

It is the publication of a paper which is similar to a published paper by the same author. He does this without acknowledging the source .It is turned to be a wastage to the editors and reviewers in every way. (Yadav etal.,2021).

It can be classified into three categories –

Duplicate Publication:-

It refers to publishing the same study in two or more journals ,like direct copy the same content for another journal.

Overlapping Publication :-

Overlapping publication means reusing the substantial parts of data or results across multiple papers with same minor changes.

Salami Slicing :-

Sometimes Researcher split up the whole research work into smaller published articles for increasing artificially number of the publication this is called salami Slicing.

According to Abraham. P (2000) ' **The "slicing" of research that would form one meaningful paper into several different papers is called "salami publication" or 'salami slicing'. "**

- Lack of knowledge about publication and research ethics .
- Fragmented knowledge
- Lack of awareness of academic integrity
- Enhancement of curriculum Vitae
- Pressure of Publication
- Academic advancement and promotion
- Increasing Funding grants
- Enhancement of Number of publications

Consequence of Publication Misconduct

- It may damage Researcher's reputation and carrier.
- It can impact publication record of the researcher negatively.
- It can waste the time, resources for reviewer, reader and editors.
- It may undermining the public trust for misleading people.

Strategy and Requirements

- Researcher should follow honesty and academic integrity .
- Follow the guidelines of Research and publication Ethics.
- Clearly communication with Author and publisher
- Develop policy and procedure for addressing Misconduct
- Researcher Should maintain objectivity
- Provide proper Training and Education to the researcher
- Respect for intellectual property right
- Use plagiarism detection software for identifying publication Misconduct
- Always maintain transparency and credibility
- Take the help of mentors for publishing any research study.
- Follow the Guidelines of COPE and WAME for maintaining high standard of publishing the research.

Conclusion

The dissemination of scholarly research holds significant value in the academic community. Published studies serve as vital resources for emerging scholars, enabling them to expand their understanding and acquire essential knowledge from existing literature. However, adherence to research ethics remains paramount. It is crucial that all researchers maintain awareness of established ethical guidelines to prevent instances of publication misconduct. As previously mentioned, researchers found engaging in misconduct face serious consequences, including deregistration and retraction of their published works. These violations carry substantial repercussions for the scientific community. Therefore, governmental bodies and academic institutions must implement robust policies and frameworks to ensure ethical conduct in research endeavors worldwide.

References

1. Ahuja, S. (2020).Publication Ethics, *Publication Misconduct & Predatory Journals*.
2. Ali, A. (2018). Research misconduct and Research ethics.
3. Mondal.A.(2022).Research & publication ethics Publication Misconduct: concept,types,reasons and consequences
4. Fanelli, D.(2009). How Many Scientists Fabricate and Falsify Research? A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis of Survey Data.
5. Gerrets, R. (2016). Morals, Morale, and Motivations in Data Fabrication: Medical Research Fieldworkers' Views and Practices in Two Sub-Saharan African Contexts. *Social Science & Medicine* 166(October), 150-159.
6. Ghosh, P. (2015). Useful Notes on the Nature of Moral Judgment. World's Largest Collection of Essays! Published by Experts.https://www.shareyouressays.com/knowledge/useful-notes-on-thenature-of-moraljudgment/113095
7. Hexham, I. (2005). Academic Plagiarism. University of Calgary. http://people.ucalgary.ca/% 7Ehexham/content/articles/plague-of-plagiarism.html
8. Kane, H. P. (2019).Scientific Misconduct: Fabrication, Falsification and Sanctity of Data.
9. Kang,E., & Hwang H.J. (2020). The Consequences of Data Fabrication and Falsification among Researchers.
10. Martyn, C. (2003). Fabrication, Falsification, and Plagiarism. An International Journal of Medicine, 96(4), 243—244.
11. Sengupta, S., & Honavar, S. G. (2017). Publication ethics. Indian journal of ophthalmology, 29–432.https://d0i.org/10.4103/ij0.1JO 483 17
12. Yadav, P. (2020). Difference Between Ethics and Values (With Table). Ask Any Difference.

12. Abhram,P.(2000) Duplicate and salami publications. Journal of Postgraduate Medicine, 46: 67.

13. https://www.slideshare.net/harikafle944/scientific-misconduct-176266031

14. https://www.e-education.psu.edu/bioet533/node/654

15. https ://www.slideshare.net/SameerKhasbage/publication-ethics- 169227070

16. www.researchgate.net